

Board and Director Evaluation Policy

12345-1245-1245-1245-1245-1234

DataDot Technology Ltd

Last revised: 30 November 2023

BOARD AND DIRECTOR EVALUATION

1 KEY ELEMENTS

The key elements of a performance evaluation process are:

- (a) the process should be objective and independent;
- (b) the process should encourage open and constructive discussion in relation to performance;
- (c) the Board should establish key goals to be achieved by the performance evaluation process; and
- (d) each individual performance assessment should remain confidential.

2 ESTABLISHING THE EVALUATION PROCESS

The Board will determine a method of performance evaluation for Directors individually and for the Board.

The method will specify the criteria to be used in evaluating performance. Performance criteria should have the following characteristics:

- (a) allow for comparisons with industry peers where appropriate;
- (b) should not change every year unless circumstances require that they do; and
- (c) reviewed annually for relevance against the Board Charter.

3 EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.1 Suggested Evaluation Criteria for Individual Directors

Contribution and effectiveness in:

- Corporate governance.
- Leadership through vision and values.
- Strategic thinking and decision making.
- Commercial and business acumen.
- Teamwork.
- Contribution to resolution of divergent views.
- Proactive participation.
- Time commitment.

3.2 **Suggested Evaluation Criteria for the Board**

Board Role: Adequacy of processes which monitor business performance

Board member interaction with management

Adequacy of Board knowledge Adequacy of business strategy

Board Appropriateness of balance and mix of skills

Size of Board Membership:

Contribution of individual Board members

Adequacy of performance feedback to Board members Procedures dealing with inadequate performance by a Board

member.

Practice and Board's effectiveness in use of time

Procedure: Whether Board allowed sufficient opportunity to adequately assess

management performance

Board's ability to keep abreast of developments in the wider

environment which may affect DDT

Adequacy of meeting frequency and duration.

Collaboration Working relationship between Chairman and CEO and Style:

Segregation of duties between Board and management

Ability of Directors to express views to each other and to

management in a constructive manner.